

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/975,132	10/09/2001		Marc Kolkman	GC636-2	1616	
5100	7590	02/17/2004		EXAMINER		
		RNATIONAL, IN	KATCHEVES, KONSTANTINA T			
ATTENTIO 925 PAGE N		L DEPARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PALO ALT			1636			

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)					
		Application							
	Office Action Summary	09/975,13	2	KOLKMAN, MARC	<u>, </u>				
	Office Action Gammary	Examiner	12.1	Art Unit	ſ				
	The MAIL ING DATE of this communication		a Katcheves	1636	Idmss				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	тарреагь оп ше	COVER SHEET WITH THE C	orrespondence ad	u1633				
THE MA - Extension after SD - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure I Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication viried for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, brief of reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided by within the set or extended period for reply will, by sty received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve in. a reply within the statu eriod will apply and will statute, cause the appl	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) days I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on j	10 October 2003	<u>3</u> .						
·	<u> </u>	This action is no							
'									
-	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	n of Claims								
4)⊠ C	4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.								
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.								
· <u> </u>									
·									
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	n Papers								
9)□ T	ne specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)∏ Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reian priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2	Certified copies of the priority docur			on No					
3.	. Copies of the certified copies of the		•••		Stage				
	application from the International Bu				_				
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certif	ied copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)								
_ `	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
3) X Informa Paper N	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/St lo(s)/Mail Date 역[[집] 6 3	B/08)	5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:	atent Application (PTC	J-152)				

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-22 are pending in the present application. Claims 23-52 have been cancelled in Applicant's election and amendment filed 3 November 2003.

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-22, in the paper filed 2 November 2003 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 23-52 have been cancelled. Accordingly, claims 1-22 are under examination.

Priority

Applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) as follows: The later-filed application must be an application for a patent for an invention which is also disclosed in the prior application (the parent or original nonprovisional application or provisional application); the disclosure of the invention in the parent application and in the later-filed application must be sufficient to comply with the requirements of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112. See *Transco Products, Inc. v. Performance Contracting, Inc.*, 38 F.3d 551, 32 USPQ2d 1077 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Provisional Application 60/239531, filed 10 October 2000, discloses Applicant's process for enhanced secretion of a polypeptide in bacteria using a DNA sequence encoding a charged amino acid tag. Provisional Application 60/239531 only discloses the charged residues,

Art Unit: 1636

aspartate (D) and glutamate (E) whereas the present application also discloses and claims the positively charged residues lysine (K) and/or asparagine (N). The present application is not fully supported by Provisional Application 60/239531. Consequently, the claims drawn to lysine (K) and/or asparagine (N) do not receive priority to the previously filed provision. These claims (claims 6, 7, 17, 18, 21 and 22) receive priority to the filing date of the present application, 9 October 2001. Claims 1-5, 8-16, 19 and 20 receive priority to Provisional Application 60/239531, filed 10 October 2000.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1- 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's preamble recites the method as the enhanced secretion of a polypeptide "in" a bacteria. The preposition in raises the question of whether the method is for the expression of polypeptide inside the cell or its secretion outside the cell as the preamble also suggests.

Where applicant acts as his or her own lexicographer to specifically define a term of a claim contrary to its ordinary meaning, the written description must clearly redefine the claim term and set forth the uncommon definition so as to put one reasonably skilled in the art on notice that the applicant intended to so redefine that claim term. *Process Control Corp. v. HydReclaim Corp.*, 190 F.3d 1350, 1357, 52 USPQ2d 1029, 1033 (Fed. Cir. 1999). The term

Art Unit: 1636

"N" (asparagine) in claims 6, 18 and 22 is used by the claim to represent a positively charged amino acid, while it is accepted in the art that asparagine is a polar, uncharged amino acid. The term is indefinite because the specification does not clearly redefine the term. See Lehninger 3rd Ed. Page 119.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 3 and 8-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The written description requirement is established by 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph which states that the: "specification shall contain a written description of the invention. .

[emphasis added]." The written description requirement is well established. A specification must convey to one of skill in the art that "as of the filing date sought, [the inventor] was in possession of the invention." See Vas Cath v. Mahurkar 935 F.2d 1555, 1560 19 USPQ2d 1111, 1117 (Fed. Cir. 1991). Applicant may show that he is in "possession" of the invention claimed by describing the invention with all of its claimed limitations "by such descriptive means as words, structures, figures, diagrams, formulas, etc., that fully set forth the claimed invention."

Art Unit: 1636

See Lockwood v. American Airlines Inc. 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 USPQ2d 1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

The instant claims are drawn to DNA sequence encoding a charged, amino acid tag.

Applicant's specification on page 10 broadly defines the tag as: "a short peptide sequence on the carboxy terminus of an expressed protein that affects the proteolysis of the expressed protein."

According to Applicant's specification, the tag comprises "[c]hanging the last two C-terminal amino acid residues in at least one, preferably two, charged amino acid residues or adding at least one, preferably two, charged amino acid residues. . .to increase to the yield of any protein secreted by B. subtillis." Also according to Applicant "a longer tag sequence" may be used. See Specification paragraph spanning pages 7 and 8.

These claims embrace a broad genus of DNA sequences encoding a number of different addition of substitutions of amino acids to the carboxy terminus of an amino acid tag of a polypeptide. These modification include the various nucleic acid condons any of the standard charged amino acids lysine (L), arginine (R), histidine (H), aspartate (D) and glutamate (E). Applicant suggests modifications including addition and substitution of at least one, yet an undefined number, of charged amino acids. Thus, these are genus claims that encompass a wide array of molecules. The specification does not disclose how each of these modifications increase yield of a protein nor how many or what modifications required.

Moreover, Applicant's disclosure contains an inconsistency that compounds the question of whether Applicant has possession of the tags claimed. The specification exemplifies asparagines (N) as a positively charged amino acid group. However, as discussed above, asparagine is known in the art to be a polar uncharged group. Absent teachings and guidance as

Art Unit: 1636

to the structure-function relationship of these molecules, the specification does not describe the claimed recombinant DNA molecules in such full, clear, concise and exact terms so as to indicate that Applicant had possession of these molecules at the time of filing of the present application.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Konstantina Katcheves whose telephone number is (571) 272-0768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday 7:30 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konstantina Katcheves

JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER